

Bimodule and twisted representation of vertex operator algebras

Qifen Jiang¹

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiaotong University
Shanghai 200240, China

Xiangyu Jiao²

Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University
Shanghai 200241, China

Abstract In this paper, for a vertex operator algebra V with an automorphism g of order T , an admissible V -module M and a fixed nonnegative rational number $n \in \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+$, we construct an $A_{g,n}(V)$ -bimodule $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M)$ and study its some properties, discuss the connections between bimodule $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M)$ and intertwining operators. Especially, bimodule $\mathbf{A}_{g,n-\frac{1}{T}}(M)$ is a natural quotient of $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M)$ and there is a linear isomorphism between the space $\mathcal{I}_{M M^j}^{M^k}$ of intertwining operators and the space of homomorphisms $\text{Hom}_{A_{g,n}(V)}(\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M) \otimes_{A_{g,n}(V)} M^j(s), M^k(t))$ for $s, t \leq n$, M^j, M^k are g -twisted V modules, if V is g -rational.

Keywords Bimodule, g -twisted module, vertex operator algebra, intertwining operator.

MSC (2010): 17B69.

Remark: It has been accepted for publication in SCIENCE CHINA Mathematics.

1 Introduction

It is known that twisted representations are the main ingredients in orbifold conformal field theory (refer [DHVW1-DHVW2, LJ1-LJ2, FLM, DM, DLM1, DLM3, DLM5, DLM6 and MT]etc). They play a fundamental role in the construction of the moonshine vertex operator algebra $V^\natural$ [FLM] and other orbifold vertex operator algebras [DGM]. Many of them have been studied in the literature (refer [ABD, DJ2, DLM3, DJM4, HY, MT]). In [DLM3, Z], associative algebra $A_g(V)$ was constructed for a given vertex operator algebra V with an automorphism g of finite order T . This is helpful to investigate abstract orbifold models. $A_{g,n}(V)$, which was constructed in [DLM5] for nonnegative numbers $n \in \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+$, is a generalization of the algebra $A_g(V)$, where $A_g(V) = A_{g,0}(V)$ (where $A_{id,0}(V)$ coincides with $A(V)$ in [Z], and $A_{id,n}(V)$ is exactly $A_n(V)$ in [DLM4]). It was shown that $A_{g,n-\frac{1}{T}}(V)$ is a natural quotient of $A_{g,n}(V)$.

On the other hand, an $A_n(V)$ -bimodule $\mathbf{A}_n(M)$ for any V -module M was also introduced in [FZ, DR] to deal with the intertwining operators and fusion rules. Moreover

¹Supported by NSFC grants 11101269 and 11431010.

E-mail: qfjiang@sjtu.edu.cn

²Supported by NSFC grant 11401213

E-mail: xyjiao@math.ecnu.edu.cn

an important result in [FZ, L2, DR] is that there is an isomorphism between the space of intertwining operators among irreducible V -modules and a certain space constructed from bimodules for $A_{id,n}(V)$. By generalizing the bimodule theory in [FZ], a sequence of bimodules of $A_{g,n}(V)$ - $A_{g,m}(V)$ in [DJ1, DJ2] were studied, which were denoted by $A_{g,n,m}(V)$.

Motivated by [DR, DJ1, DJ2], for any g, n as above, in this paper we construct an $A_{g,n}(V)$ -bimodule $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M)$ for any admissible V -module M such that $\mathbf{A}_{g,n-\frac{1}{T}}(M)$ is a natural quotient of $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M)$. The structure of those bimodules are discussed. We also consider the connections between bimodule $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M)$ and intertwining operators. Moreover, it is established that if V is g -rational then there is a linear isomorphism between the space $\mathcal{I}_{MM^j}^{M^k}$ of intertwining operators and the space of homomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{A_{g,n}(V)}(\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M) \otimes_{A_{g,n}(V)} M^j(s), M^k(t))$$

for $s, t \leq n$, M^j, M^k are g -twisted V modules.

The paper is organized as follows. We recall the construction of associative algebras $A_{g,n}(V)$ and some results from [DLM3]-[DLM5] in Section 2. In Section 3, we give the construction of $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M)$ and study its structure. In section 4, we discuss the relation between $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M)$ and intertwining operators. As in [FZ, L2, DR], we obtain the isomorphism from the space $\mathcal{I}_{MM^j}^{M^k}$ of intertwining operators to $\text{Hom}_{A_{g,n}(V)}(\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M) \otimes_{A_{g,n}(V)} M^j(s), M^k(t))$ if V is g -rational.

2 The associative algebras $A_{g,n}(V)$

Let $V = (V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ be a vertex operator algebra ([B], [FLM], [LL]) with an automorphism g of finite order T . Then V has the decomposition of eigenspace with respect to the action g :

$$V = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}/T\mathbb{Z}} V^r$$

where $V^r = \{v \in V | gv = e^{-\frac{2\pi ir}{T}} v\}$. Firstly, we recall definitions of modules of different types from [DLM3, FLM, FFR, D].

Definition 2.1. A weak g -twisted V -module M is a vector space equipped with a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} Y_M(\cdot, z) : V &\rightarrow (\text{End} M)[[z^{\frac{1}{T}}, z^{-\frac{1}{T}}]] \\ v &\mapsto Y_M(v, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Q}} v_n z^{-n-1} \quad (v_n \in \text{End} M) \end{aligned}$$

which satisfies the following conditions for all $0 \leq r \leq T-1, u \in V^r, v \in V, w \in M$.

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_M(u, z) &= \sum_{n \in \frac{r}{T} + \mathbb{Z}} u_n z^{-n-1}; \\
u_n w &= 0 \text{ for } n \gg 0; \\
Y_M(\mathbf{1}, z) &= id_M; \\
z_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0}\right) Y_M(u, z_1) Y_M(v, z_2) &- z_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0}\right) Y_M(v, z_2) Y_M(u, z_1) \\
&= z_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2}\right) \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2}\right)^{-\frac{r}{T}} Y_M(Y(u, z_0)v, z_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.2. An (ordinary) g -twisted V -module is a weak g -twisted V -module M which carries a $\mathbb{C}$ -grading induced by the spectrum of $L(0)$ where $L(0)$ is a component operator of

$$Y_M(\omega, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n) z^{-n-2}.$$

That is

$$M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} M_\lambda,$$

where $M_\lambda = \{w \in M | L(0)w = \lambda w\}$. Moreover one requires that M_λ is finite dimensional and for fixed λ , $M_{\frac{n}{T} + \lambda} = 0$ for all small enough integers n .

Definition 2.3. An admissible g -twisted V -module is a weak g -twisted V -module M which carries a $\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+$ -grading

$$M = \bigoplus_{n \in \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+} M(n)$$

that satisfies the following

$$v_m M(n) \subset M(n + \text{wt} v - m - 1)$$

for homogeneous $v \in V$.

Remark 2.4. Assume M is an irreducible admissible g -twisted V -module, one knows that $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+} M_{n+h}$ for some $h \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $M_h \neq 0$, where h is the conformal weight of M . We always assume $M(n) = M_{n+h}$.

Next we recall some results of the associative algebra $A_{g,n}(V)$ from [DLM3-DLM5]. Also see [Z].

Fix $n = l + \frac{i}{T} \in \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+$ with l a nonnegative integer and $0 \leq i \leq T-1$. For $0 \leq r \leq T-1$, define

$$\delta_i(r) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \geq r, \\ 0, & \text{if } i < r. \end{cases}$$

Let $O_{g,n}(V)$ be the linear span of all $u \circ_{g,n} v$ and $L(-1)u + L(0)u$ where for homogeneous $u \in V^r$ and $v \in V$,

$$u \circ_{g,n} v = \text{Res}_z Y(u, z) v \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt} u + l - 1 + \delta_i(r) + \frac{r}{T}}}{z^{2l + \delta_i(r) + \delta_i(T-r) + 1}}.$$

We also define a second product $*_{g,n}$ on V for $u \in V^r$ and $v \in V$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} u *_{g,n} v &= \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \text{Res}_z Y(u, z) \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt } u+l}}{z^{l+m+1}} v \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^l \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \binom{\text{wt } u+l}{j} u_{j-m-l-1} v. \end{aligned}$$

if $r = 0$ and $u *_{g,n} v = 0$ if $r > 0$.

Define $A_{g,n}(V)$ to be the quotient $V/O_{g,n}(V)$. Then $A_{g,0}(V) = A_g(V)$ and $A_{id,n}(V) = A_n(V)$ have already been defined and studied in [DLM3] and [DLM4] respectively.

The following theorem summarizes the main results of [DLM5].

Theorem 2.5. *Let V be a vertex operator algebra and g an automorphism of V of finite order T . Let $M = \bigoplus_{m \in \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+} M(m)$ be an admissible g -twisted V -module. Let $n \in \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+$. Then*

- (1) $A_{g,n}(V)$ is an associative algebra whose product is induced by $*_{g,n}$.
- (2) The identity map on V induces an algebra epimorphism from $A_{g,n}(V)$ to $A_{g,n-1/T}(V)$.
- (3) Let W be a weak g -twisted V module and set

$$\Omega_n(W) = \{w \in W \mid u_{\text{wt } u-1+k} w = 0, u \in V, k > n\}.$$

Then $\Omega_n(W)$ is an $A_{g,n}(V)$ -module such that $v + O_{g,n}(V)$ acts as $o(v) = v_{\text{wt } v-1}$ for homogeneous v .

(4) Each $M(m)$ for $m \leq n$ is an $A_{g,n}(V)$ -submodule of $\Omega_n(W)$. Furthermore, M is irreducible if and only if each $M(m)$ is an irreducible $A_{g,n}(V)$ -module.

(5) The linear map φ from V to V defined by $\varphi(u) = e^{L(1)}(-1)^{L(0)}u$ for $u \in V$ induces an anti-isomorphism from $A_{g,n}(V)$ to $A_{g^{-1},n}(V)$.

3 Bimodules $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M)$ of $A_{g,n}(V)$

Motivated by the ideas of $A(V)$ -bimodule $\mathbf{A}(M)$ and $A_n(V)$ -bimodule $\mathbf{A}_n(M)$ from [Z] and [DR] for any nonnegative integer n respectively, we will construct and study $A_{g,n}(V)$ -bimodule $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M)$ for $n \in \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+$ in this section.

Let V be same as vertex operator algebra in section 2 and M be an admissible V -module, $\mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M)$ be the linear span of $u \circ_{g,n} w$ where for homogeneous $u \in V^r$ and $w \in M$,

$$u \circ_{g,n} w = \text{Res}_z Y_M(u, z) w \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt } u+l-1+\delta_i(r)+\frac{r}{T}}}{z^{2l+\delta_i(r)+\delta_i(T-r)+1}}.$$

We also define a left bilinear product $*_{g,n}$ for $u \in V^r$ and $w \in M$:

$$\begin{aligned} u *_{g,n} w &= \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \text{Res}_z Y_M(u, z) \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt } u+l}}{z^{l+m+1}} w \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^l \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \binom{\text{wt } u+l}{j} u_{j-m-l-1} w, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

if $r = 0$ and $u *_{g,n} w = 0$ if $r > 0$ and a right bilinear product

$$\begin{aligned} w *_{g,n} u &= \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^l \binom{m+l}{l} \text{Res}_z Y_M(u, z) \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt } u+m-1}}{z^{l+m+1}} w \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^l \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^l \binom{m+l}{l} \binom{\text{wt } u+m-1}{j} u_{j-m-l-1} w. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

if $r = 0$ and $w *_{g,n} u = 0$ if $r > 0$.

Set $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M) = M/\mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M)$. One can see that $\mathbf{A}_{1,n}(M)$ is the $A_n(V)$ -bimodule $\mathbf{A}_n(M)$ studied in [DR]. Moreover, $\mathbf{A}_{1,0}(M)$ is the $A(V)$ -bimodule $\mathbf{A}(M)$ studied in [FZ].

Lemma 3.1. (1) Assume that $u \in V^r$ is homogeneous, $w \in M$ and $m \geq k \geq 0$. Then

$$\text{Res}_z Y_M(u, z) w \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt } u+l-1+\delta_i(r)+\frac{r}{T}+k}}{z^{2l+\delta_i(r)+\delta_i(T-r)+1+m}} \in \mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M).$$

(2) For homogeneous $u \in V^0$ and $w \in M$,

$$u *_{g,n} w - w *_{g,n} u - \text{Res}_z Y_M(u, z) w (1+z)^{\text{wt } u-1} \in \mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M).$$

Proof: The proof of (1) is similar to that of Lemma 2.1.2 of [Z].

For (2), it follows directly from the definitions (3.1) and (3.2) and using the following result in the appendix of [DLM4]:

$$\sum_{m=0}^l \binom{m+l}{l} \frac{(-1)^m (1+z)^{l+1} - (-1)^l (1+z)^m}{z^{l+m+1}} = 1.$$

Thus we can obtain the result in (2). $\square$

Lemma 3.2.

$$(L(-1) + L(0))V *_{g,n} M \subset \mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M), M *_{g,n} (L(-1) + L(0))V \subset \mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M)$$

Proof: By the definition of $*_{g,n}$, we only need to prove the case $u \in V^0, w \in M$. From (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (L(-1)u) *_{g,n} w &= \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \text{Res}_z Y_M(L(-1)u, z) w \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt } u+1+l}}{z^{l+m+1}} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^{m+1} \binom{m+l}{l} \text{Res}_z Y_M(u, z) w \frac{d}{dz} \left(\frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt } u+1+l}}{z^{l+m+1}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^{m+1} \binom{m+l}{l} \operatorname{Res}_z Y_M(u, z) w \left[\frac{(\operatorname{wt} u + 1 + l)(1+z)^{\operatorname{wt} u+l}}{z^{l+m+1}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{(l+m+1)(1+z)^{\operatorname{wt} u+1+l}}{z^{l+m+2}} \right], \\
(L(0)u) *_{g,n} w &= \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \operatorname{Res}_z Y_M(L(0)u, z) w \frac{(1+z)^{\operatorname{wt} u+l}}{z^{l+m+1}} \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \operatorname{wt} u \operatorname{Res}_z Y_M(u, z) w \frac{(1+z)^{\operatorname{wt} u+l}}{z^{l+m+1}}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&(L(-1) + L(0))u *_{g,n} w \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \operatorname{Res}_z Y_M(u, z) w \left[\frac{(-l-1)(1+z)^{\operatorname{wt} u+l}}{z^{l+m+1}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{(l+m+1)(1+z)^{\operatorname{wt} u+1+l}}{z^{l+m+2}} \right] \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \operatorname{Res}_z Y_M(u, z) w \frac{(mz + l + m + 1)(1+z)^{\operatorname{wt} u+l}}{z^{l+m+2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.2 in [DLM4]:

$$\sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \frac{(mz + l + m + 1)(1+z)^{\operatorname{wt} u+l}}{z^{l+m+2}} = (-1)^l \binom{2l+1}{l} \frac{2l+1}{z^{2l+2}},$$

we obtain

$$(L(-1) + L(0))u *_{g,n} w = (-1)^l \binom{2l+1}{l} \operatorname{Res}_z Y_M(u, z) w \frac{(2l+1)(1+z)^{\operatorname{wt} u+l}}{z^{2l+2}}.$$

Since $u \in V^0$, $\delta_i(r) = 1$ and $\delta_i(T-r) = 0$, it is clear that the right-hand side lies in $\mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M)$. So

$$(L(-1) + L(0))V *_{g,n} M \subset \mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M)$$

For the second containment, we only need to use this result and Lemma 3.1(2). This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. (1) $O_{g,n}(V) *_{g,n} M \subset \mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M)$, $M *_{g,n} O_{g,n}(V) \subset \mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M)$,

$$(2) V *_{g,n} \mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M) \subset \mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M), \mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M) *_{g,n} V \subset \mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M).$$

Proof: By Lemma 3.2, it remains to prove that $(u \circ_{g,n} v) *_{g,n} w, w *_{g,n} (u \circ_{g,n} v), u *_{g,n} (v \circ_{g,n} w), (v \circ_{g,n} w) *_{g,n} u \in \mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M)$ for $u, v \in V$ and $w \in M$.

(1) Since $V^r *_{g,n} M$ and $M *_{g,n} V^r$ equal zero for $r \neq 0$, we only need to consider the case $u \in V^r$ and $v \in V^{T-r}$. It is not difficult to prove $(u \circ_{g,n} v) *_{g,n} w \subset \mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M)$ according to Lemma 3.4(1) in [DR], we omit it. We now prove $w *_{g,n} (u \circ_{g,n} v) \in \mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M)$.

Let $u \in V^r, v \in V^{T-r}$ and $w \in M$, we have

$$(u \circ_{g,n} v) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{\text{wt}u + l - 1 + \delta_i(r) + \frac{r}{T}}{j} (u_{j-2l-1-\delta_i(r)-\delta_i(T-r)} v).$$

Then it follows Lemma 3.1(2) that

$$\begin{aligned} & w *_{g,n} (u \circ_{g,n} v) \\ & \equiv - \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{\text{wt}u + l - 1 + \delta_i(r) + \frac{r}{T}}{j} \text{Res}_{z_2} Y_M(u_{j-2l-1-\delta_i(r)-\delta_i(T-r)} v, z_2) w \times \\ & \quad (1 + z_2)^{\text{wt}u + \text{wt}v + 2l + \delta_i(r) + \delta_i(T-r) - 1 - j} (\text{mod } \mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M)) \\ & = - \text{Res}_{z_2} \text{Res}_{z_0} Y_M(Y(u, z_0) v, z_2) w \frac{(1 + z_2 + z_0)^{\text{wt}u + l - 1 + \delta_i(r) + \frac{r}{T}}}{z_0^{2l + \delta_i(r) + \delta_i(T-r) + 1}} \times \\ & \quad (1 + z_2)^{\text{wt}v + l + \delta_i(T-r) - \frac{r}{T}} (\text{mod } \mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M)) \\ & = - \text{Res}_{z_1} \text{Res}_{z_2} Y_M(u, z_1) Y_M(v, z_2) w \frac{(1 + z_1)^{\text{wt}u + l - 1 + \delta_i(r) + \frac{r}{T}} (1 + z_2)^{\text{wt}v + l + \delta_i(T-r) - \frac{r}{T}}}{(z_1 - z_2)^{2l + \delta_i(r) + \delta_i(T-r) + 1}} \\ & \quad + \text{Res}_{z_2} \text{Res}_{z_1} Y_M(v, z_2) Y_M(u, z_1) w \frac{(1 + z_1)^{\text{wt}u + l - 1 + \delta_i(r) + \frac{r}{T}} (1 + z_2)^{\text{wt}v + l + \delta_i(T-r) - \frac{r}{T}}}{(-z_2 + z_1)^{2l + \delta_i(r) + \delta_i(T-r) + 1}} \\ & = \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^{j+1} \binom{-2l - 1 - \delta_i(r) - \delta_i(T-r)}{j} \text{Res}_{z_1} \text{Res}_{z_2} Y_M(u, z_1) Y_M(v, z_2) w \times \\ & \quad \frac{(1 + z_1)^{\text{wt}u + l - 1 + \delta_i(r) + \frac{r}{T}} (1 + z_2)^{\text{wt}v + l + \delta_i(T-r) - \frac{r}{T}}}{z_1^{2l + \delta_i(r) + \delta_i(T-r) + 1 + j} z_2^{-j}} \\ & \quad + \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^{\delta_i(r) + \delta_i(T-r) + 1} \binom{-2l - 1 - \delta_i(r) - \delta_i(T-r)}{j} \text{Res}_{z_2} \text{Res}_{z_1} Y_M(v, z_2) Y_M(u, z_1) w \times \\ & \quad \frac{(1 + z_1)^{\text{wt}u + l - 1 + \delta_i(r) + \frac{r}{T}} (1 + z_2)^{\text{wt}v + l + \delta_i(T-r) - \frac{r}{T}}}{z_1^{-j} z_2^{2l + \delta_i(r) + \delta_i(T-r) + 1 + j}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u \in V^r, v \in V^{T-r}$ and from Lemma 3.1 we know that both

$$\text{Res}_{z_1} \text{Res}_{z_2} Y_M(u, z_1) Y_M(v, z_2) w \frac{(1 + z_1)^{\text{wt}u + l - 1 + \delta_i(r) + \frac{r}{T}} (1 + z_2)^{\text{wt}v + l + \delta_i(T-r) - \frac{r}{T}}}{z_1^{2l + \delta_i(r) + \delta_i(T-r) + 1 + j} z_2^{-j}}$$

and

$$\text{Res}_{z_2} \text{Res}_{z_1} Y_M(v, z_2) Y_M(u, z_1) w \frac{(1 + z_1)^{\text{wt}u + l - 1 + \delta_i(r) + \frac{r}{T}} (1 + z_2)^{\text{wt}v + l + \delta_i(T-r) - \frac{r}{T}}}{z_1^{-j} z_2^{2l + \delta_i(r) + \delta_i(T-r) + 1 + j}}$$

lie in $\mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M)$.

(2) Let $u \in V^0, v \in V^r$ and $w \in M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
u *_{g,n} (v \circ_{g,n} w) &= \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \text{Res}_{z_1} Y_M(u, z_1) \text{Res}_{z_2} Y_M(v, z_2) w \\
&\quad \times \frac{(1+z_1)^{\text{wt}u+l}}{z_1^{l+m+1}} \cdot \frac{(1+z_2)^{\text{wt}v+l-1+\delta_i(r)+\frac{r}{T}}}{z_2^{2l+\delta_i(r)+\delta_i(T-r)+1}} \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \text{Res}_{z_2} \text{Res}_{z_1} Y_M(v, z_2) Y_M(u, z_1) w \\
&\quad \times \frac{(1+z_1)^{\text{wt}u+l}}{z_1^{l+m+1}} \cdot \frac{(1+z_2)^{\text{wt}v+l-1+\delta_i(r)+\frac{r}{T}}}{z_2^{2l+\delta_i(r)+\delta_i(T-r)+1}} \\
&+ \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \text{Res}_{z_2} \text{Res}_{z_0} Y_M(Y(u, z_0)v, z_2) w \times \frac{(1+z_2+z_0)^{\text{wt}u+l}}{(z_2+z_0)^{l+m+1}} \cdot \frac{(1+z_2)^{\text{wt}v+l-1+\delta_i(r)+\frac{r}{T}}}{z_2^{2l+\delta_i(r)+\delta_i(T-r)+1}} \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \text{Res}_{z_2} \text{Res}_{z_1} Y_M(v, z_2) Y_M(u, z_1) w \\
&\quad \times \frac{(1+z_1)^{\text{wt}u+l}}{z_1^{l+m+1}} \cdot \frac{(1+z_2)^{\text{wt}v+l-1+\delta_i(r)+\frac{r}{T}}}{z_2^{2l+\delta_i(r)+\delta_i(T-r)+1}} \\
&+ \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \sum_{j,k \geq 0} \binom{\text{wt}u+l}{j} \binom{-l-m-1}{k} \text{Res}_{z_2} Y_M(u_{j+k}v, z_2) w \\
&\quad \times \frac{(1+z_2)^{\text{wt}u+\text{wt}v+2l-j-1+\delta_i(r)+\frac{r}{T}}}{z_2^{3l+\delta_i(r)+\delta_i(T-r)+2+m+k}} \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \text{Res}_{z_2} \text{Res}_{z_1} Y_M(v, z_2) Y_M(u, z_1) w \\
&\quad \times \frac{(1+z_1)^{\text{wt}u+l}}{z_1^{l+m+1}} \cdot \frac{(1+z_2)^{\text{wt}v+l-1+\delta_i(r)+\frac{r}{T}}}{z_2^{2l+\delta_i(r)+\delta_i(T-r)+1}} \\
&+ \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \sum_{k,j \geq 0} \binom{\text{wt}u+l}{j} \binom{-l-m-1}{k} \text{Res}_{z_2} Y_M(u_{k+j}v, z_2) w \\
&\quad \times \frac{(1+z_2)^{\text{wt}(u_{k+j}v)+l-1+\delta_i(r)+\frac{r}{T}+l+k+1}}{z_2^{2l+\delta_i(r)+\delta_i(T-r)+1+l+m+k+1}}
\end{aligned}$$

By the definition of $\mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M)$ and Lemma 3.1(1), we know that the two resulting terms are in $\mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M)$.

Using Lemma 3.1(2), similarly, we also have $(v \circ_{g,n} w) *_{g,n} u \in \mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M)$. so the proof of the Lemma is complete. $\square$

Now we can establish the main results of this section as follows:

Theorem 3.4. *Let M be an admissible V -module and $n \in \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+$. Then*

- (1) *The vector space $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M)$ is an $A_{g,n}(V)$ -bimodule with the left and right actions of $A_{g,n}(V)$ on $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M)$ induced from (3.1) and (3.2) respectively.*
- (2) *The identity map on M induces an $A_{g,n}(V)$ -bimodule epimorphism from $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M)$ to $\mathbf{A}_{g,n-\frac{1}{T}}(M)$ if $n \geq 1$.*
- (3) *The map*

$$\phi : w \mapsto e^{L(1)}(-1)^{L(0)}w$$

induces a linear isomorphism from $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M)$ to $\mathbf{A}_{g^{-1},n}(M)$ such that

$$\phi(u *_{g,n} w) = \phi(w) *_{g^{-1},n} \phi(u), \phi(w *_{g,n} u) = \phi(u) *_{g^{-1},n} \phi(w)$$

for $u \in V$ and $w \in M$.

- (4) *If V is g -rational, then both $\mathbf{O}_{g,n-\frac{s}{T}}(M)/\mathbf{O}_{g,n-\frac{s-1}{T}}(M)$ and $\mathbf{A}_{g,n-\frac{s}{T}}(M)$ are $A_{g,n}(V)$ -bimodules for $s = 1, \dots, nT$ and*

$$\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M) = \mathbf{A}_{g,0}(M) \bigoplus \bigoplus_{s=1}^{nT} \mathbf{O}_{g,n-\frac{s}{T}}(M)/\mathbf{O}_{g,n-\frac{s-1}{T}}(M).$$

Proof: (1) By Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 it suffices to show that the following identities hold in $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M)$ for $u, v \in V$ and $w \in M$:

$$\begin{aligned} (u *_{g,n} w) *_{g,n} v &= u *_{g,n} (w *_{g,n} v), \mathbf{1} *_{g,n} w = w *_{g,n} \mathbf{1} = w \\ (u *_{g,n} v) *_{g,n} w &= u *_{g,n} (v *_{g,n} w), w *_{g,n} (u *_{g,n} v) = (w *_{g,n} u) *_{g,n} v. \end{aligned}$$

As the proofs are similar, we only prove the third identity in detail. From the definition,

we may assume $u, v \in V^0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(u *_{g,n} v) *_{g,n} w &= \sum_{m_1, m_2=0}^l \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^{m_1+m_2} \binom{m_1+l}{l} \binom{m_2+l}{l} \binom{\text{wt} u + l}{i} \\
&\cdot \text{Res}_{z_2} Y_M(u_{i-l-m_1-1} v, z_2) w \frac{(1+z_2)^{\text{wt} u + \text{wt} v + 2l + m_1 - i}}{z_2^{l+m_2+1}} \\
&= \sum_{m_1, m_2=0}^l (-1)^{m_1+m_2} \binom{m_1+l}{l} \binom{m_2+l}{l} \\
&\cdot \text{Res}_{z_2} \text{Res}_{z_0} Y_M(Y(u, z_0) v, z_2) w \frac{(1+z_2+z_0)^{\text{wt} u + l} (1+z_2)^{\text{wt} v + m_1 + l}}{z_0^{l+m_1+1} z_2^{l+m_2+1}} \\
&= \sum_{m_1, m_2=0}^l (-1)^{m_1+m_2} \binom{m_1+l}{l} \binom{m_2+l}{l} \\
&\cdot \text{Res}_{z_1} \text{Res}_{z_2} Y_M(u, z_1) Y_M(v, z_2) w \frac{(1+z_1)^{\text{wt} u + l} (1+z_2)^{\text{wt} v + m_1 + l}}{(z_1 - z_2)^{l+m_1+1} z_2^{l+m_2+1}} \\
&- \sum_{m_1, m_2=0}^l (-1)^{m_1+m_2} \binom{m_1+l}{l} \binom{m_2+l}{l} \\
&\cdot \text{Res}_{z_2} \text{Res}_{z_1} Y_M(v, z_2) Y_M(u, z_1) w \frac{(1+z_1)^{\text{wt} u + l} (1+z_2)^{\text{wt} v + m_1 + l}}{(-z_2 + z_1)^{l+m_1+1} z_2^{l+m_2+1}} \\
&= \sum_{m_1, m_2=0}^l \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^{m_1+m_2+i} \binom{m_1+l}{l} \binom{m_2+l}{l} \binom{-l-m_1-1}{i} \\
&\cdot \text{Res}_{z_1} \text{Res}_{z_2} Y_M(u, z_1) Y_M(v, z_2) w \frac{(1+z_1)^{\text{wt} u + l} (1+z_2)^{\text{wt} v + m_1 + l}}{z_1^{l+m_1+1+i} z_2^{l+m_2+1-i}} \\
&- \sum_{m_1, m_2=0}^l \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^{m_2+l+1+i} \binom{m_1+l}{l} \binom{m_2+l}{l} \binom{-l-m_1-1}{i} \\
&\cdot \text{Res}_{z_2} \text{Res}_{z_1} Y_M(v, z_2) Y_M(u, z_1) w \frac{(1+z_1)^{\text{wt} u + l} (1+z_2)^{\text{wt} v + m_1 + l}}{z_1^{-i} z_2^{2l+m_1+m_2+2+i}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1(1) that

$$\text{Res}_{z_2} \text{Res}_{z_1} Y_M(v, z_2) Y_M(u, z_1) w \frac{(1+z_1)^{\text{wt} u + l} (1+z_2)^{\text{wt} v + l + m_1}}{z_1^{-i} z_2^{2l+m_1+m_2+2+i}} \in \mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M).$$

and if $i > l - m_1$

$$\text{Res}_{z_1} \text{Res}_{z_2} Y_M(u, z_1) Y_M(v, z_2) w \frac{(1+z_1)^{\text{wt} u + l} (1+z_2)^{\text{wt} v + m_1 + l}}{z_1^{l+m_1+1+i} z_2^{l+m_2+1-i}}$$

is also in $\mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M)$. Thus in $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (u *_{g,n} v) *_{g,n} w &= u *_{g,n} (v *_{g,n} w) + \sum_{m_1, m_2=0}^l (-1)^{m_1+m_2} \binom{m_1+l}{l} \binom{m_2+l}{l} \\ &\cdot \text{Res}_{z_1} \text{Res}_{z_2} Y_M(u, z_1) Y_M(v, z_2) w \frac{(1+z_1)^{\text{wt}u+l} (1+z_2)^{\text{wt}v+l}}{z_1^{l+m_1+1} z_2^{l+m_2+1}} \\ &\cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^{l-m_1} \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{-l-m_1-1}{i} \binom{m_1}{j} \frac{(-1)^i z_2^{i+j}}{z_1^i} - 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

By proposition 5.3 in [DLM3], we know that

$$\sum_{m_1=0}^l (-1)^{m_1} \binom{m_1+l}{l} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{l-m_1} \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{-l-m_1-1}{i} \binom{m_1}{j} \frac{(-1)^i z_2^{i+j}}{z_1^{i+m_1}} - \frac{1}{z_1^{m_1}} \right) = 0.$$

So we obtain

$$(u *_{g,n} v) *_{g,n} w = u *_{g,n} (v *_{g,n} w).$$

(2) By definition and Lemma 3.1(1), it is easy to see that $\mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M) \subset \mathbf{O}_{g,n-\frac{1}{T}}(M)$. So it suffices to show that $u *_{g,n} w \equiv u *_{g,n-\frac{1}{T}} w, w *_{g,n} u \equiv w *_{g,n-\frac{1}{T}} u$ modulo $\mathbf{O}_{g,n-\frac{1}{T}}(M)$ for $u \in V$ and $w \in M$. We only need to prove them for $u \in V^0$.

As $n = l + \frac{i}{T}, n - \frac{1}{T} = l + \frac{i-1}{T}$, if $i \geq 1$, for n and $n - \frac{1}{T}$, the nonnegative integer l stays the same. It is clear that $u *_{g,n} w = u *_{g,n-\frac{1}{T}} w, w *_{g,n} u = w *_{g,n-\frac{1}{T}} u$. If $i = 0$, then $n = l, n - \frac{1}{T} = l - 1 + \frac{T-1}{T}$. By definition (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (u *_{g,n} w) &= \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \text{Res}_z Y_M(u, z) w \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}u+l}}{z^{l+m+1}} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \text{Res}_z Y_M(u, z) w \left(\frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}u+l-1}}{z^{l+m}} + \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}u+l-1}}{z^{l+m+1}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{l-1} (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \text{Res}_z Y_M(u, z) w \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}u+l-1}}{z^{l+m}} + \\ &\quad \sum_{m=0}^{l-2} (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \text{Res}_z Y_M(u, z) w \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}u+l-1}}{z^{l+m+1}} \pmod{\mathbf{O}_{g,n-\frac{1}{T}}(M)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \text{Res}_z Y_M(u, z) w \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}u+l-1}}{z^l} + \sum_{m=1}^{l-1} (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \text{Res}_z Y_M(u, z) w \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}u+l-1}}{z^{l+m}} \\
&+ \sum_{m=1}^{l-1} (-1)^{m-1} \binom{m+l-1}{l} \text{Res}_z Y_M(u, z) w \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}u+l-1}}{z^{l+m}} \\
&= \text{Res}_z Y_M(u, z) w \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}u+l-1}}{z^l} + \sum_{m=1}^{l-1} \text{Res}_z Y_M(u, z) w \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}u+l-1}}{z^{l+m}} \\
&\cdot ((-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} + (-1)^{m+1} \binom{m+l-1}{l}) \\
&= \text{Res}_z Y_M(u, z) w \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}u+l-1}}{z^l} + \sum_{m=1}^{l-1} (-1)^m \binom{m+l-1}{l-1} \text{Res}_z Y_M(u, z) w \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}u+l-1}}{z^{l+m}} \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{l-1} (-1)^m \binom{m+l-1}{l-1} \text{Res}_z Y_M(u, z) w \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}u+l-1}}{z^{l+m}} \\
&= u *_{g, n-\frac{1}{T}} w \pmod{\mathbf{O}_{g, n-\frac{1}{T}}(M)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using this result and Lemma 3.1, this complete the proof of (2).

(3) We first prove that $\phi(\mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M)) \subset \mathbf{O}_{g^{-1},n}(M)$. We know the following conjugation formulas from [FHL]:

$$\begin{aligned}
z^{L(0)} Y(u, z_0) z^{-L(0)} &= Y(z^{L(0)} u, z z_0), \\
e^{zL(1)} Y(u, z_0) e^{-zL(1)} &= Y\left(e^{z(1-zz_0)L(1)} (1-zz_0)^{-2L(0)} u, \frac{z_0}{1-zz_0}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

on M for $u \in V$. Then for homogeneous $u \in V^r$ and $w \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(u \circ_{g,n} w) &= e^{L(1)} (-1)^{L(0)} \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}u+l-1+\delta_i(r)+\frac{r}{T}}}{z^{2l+\delta_i(r)+\delta_i(T-r)+1}} Y_M(u, z) w \\
&= \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}u+l-1+\delta_i(r)+\frac{r}{T}}}{z^{2l+\delta_i(r)+\delta_i(T-r)+1}} e^{L(1)} Y_M((-1)^{L(0)} u, -z) (-1)^{L(0)} w \\
&= \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}u+l-1+\delta_i(r)+\frac{r}{T}}}{z^{2l+\delta_i(r)+\delta_i(T-r)+1}} Y_M(e^{(1+z)L(1)} (1+z)^{-2L(0)} (-1)^{L(0)} u, \frac{-z}{1+z}) e^{L(1)} (-1)^{L(0)} w.
\end{aligned}$$

Setting variable $z = -\frac{z_0}{1+z_0}$ and using the residue formula for the change of variable [Z]

$$\text{Res}_z g(z) = \text{Res}_{z_0} (g(f(z_0)) \frac{d}{dz_0} f(z_0))$$

(for $g(z) = \sum_{n \geq N} v_n z^{n+\alpha}$ and $f(z) = \sum_{n > 0} c_n z^n$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $v_n \in V$, $\alpha, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$)

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi(u \circ_{g,n} w) \\
&= (-1)^{\delta_i(r) + \delta_i(T-r)} \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{(1+z_0)^{-\text{wt}u+l-1+\delta_i(T-r)+\frac{T-r}{T}}}{z_0^{2l+\delta_i(r)+\delta_i(T-r)+1}} \\
& \times Y_M \left(e^{(1+z_0)^{-1}L(1)} (1+z_0)^{2L(0)} (-1)^{L(0)} u, z_0 \right) e^{L(1)} (-1)^{L(0)} w \\
&= (-1)^{\text{wt}u+\delta_i(r)+\delta_i(T-r)} \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{(1+z_0)^{\text{wt}u+l-1+\delta_i(T-r)+\frac{T-r}{T}}}{z_0^{2l+\delta_i(r)+\delta_i(T-r)+1}} \\
& \times Y_M (e^{(1+z_0)^{-1}L(1)} u, z_0) e^{L(1)} (-1)^{L(0)} w \\
&= (-1)^{\text{wt}u+\delta_i(r)+\delta_i(T-r)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{(1+z_0)^{\text{wt}u+l-1+\delta_i(T-r)+\frac{T-r}{T}} - j}{z_0^{2l+\delta_i(r)+\delta_i(T-r)+1}} \\
& \times Y_M (L(1)^j u, z) e^{L(1)} (-1)^{L(0)} w \\
&= (-1)^{\text{wt}u+\delta_i(r)+\delta_i(T-r)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{(1+z_0)^{\text{wt}(L(1)^j u)+l-1+\delta_i(T-r)+\frac{T-r}{T}}}{z_0^{2l+\delta_i(r)+\delta_i(T-r)+1}} \\
& \times Y_M (L(1)^j u, z_0) e^{L(1)} (-1)^{L(0)} w
\end{aligned}$$

which lies in $\mathbf{O}_{g^{-1},n}(M)$ by definition.

Next we prove $\phi(u *_{g,n} w) = \phi(w) *_{g^{-1},n} \phi(u)$ and $\phi(w *_{g,n} u) = \phi(u) *_{g^{-1},n} \phi(w)$.

For $u \in V^r, r \neq 0$, from the definition (3.1) it is clear that

$$\phi(u *_{g,n} w) = \phi(w) *_{g^{-1},n} \phi(u) = 0.$$

Now assume $u \in V^0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(u *_{g,n} w) &= \phi \left(\sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \text{Res}_z Y_M(u, z) w \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}u+l}}{z^{l+m+1}} \right) \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}u+l}}{z^{l+m+1}} e^{L(1)} Y_M((-1)^{L(0)} u, -z) (-1)^{L(0)} w \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}u+l}}{z^{l+m+1}} \cdot Y(e^{(1+z)L(1)} (1+z)^{-2L(0)} (-1)^{L(0)} u, \frac{-z}{1+z}) e^{L(1)} (-1)^{L(0)} w \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^{\text{wt}u+l} \binom{m+l}{l} \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{(1+z_0)^{\text{wt}u+m-1}}{z_0^{l+m+1}} Y_M(e^{(1+z_0)^{-1}L(1)} u, z_0) e^{L(1)} (-1)^{L(0)} w \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^{\text{wt}u+l} \binom{m+l}{l} \text{Res}_{z_0} \frac{(1+z_0)^{\text{wt}u+m-1-j}}{z_0^{l+m+1}} Y(L(1)^j u, z_0) e^{L(1)} (-1)^{L(0)} w \\
&= \phi(w) *_{g^{-1},n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} (L(1)^j (-1)^{L(0)} u) \\
&= \phi(w) *_{g^{-1},n} \phi(u).
\end{aligned}$$

where the forth step uses change of variable $z = -\frac{z_0}{1+z_0}$.

The proof for the other identity is similar, so we omit it.

(4) From Lemma 3.2 and 3.3, it is obvious that $\mathbf{O}_{g,n-\frac{s}{T}}(M)/\mathbf{O}_{g,n-\frac{s-1}{T}}(M)$ and $\mathbf{A}_{g,n-\frac{s}{T}}(M)$ are the $A_{g,n}(V)$ -bimodules for $s = 1, 2, \dots, nT$. By (2) we have

$$\psi : \mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M) \longrightarrow \mathbf{A}_{g,n-\frac{1}{T}}(M)$$

a algebra epimorphism. Then

$$\mathbf{A}_{g,n-\frac{1}{T}}(M) \cong \mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M) / \ker \psi.$$

Since $\mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M) \subset \mathbf{O}_{g,n-\frac{1}{T}}(M)$, we know that

$$\ker \psi = \mathbf{O}_{g,n-\frac{1}{T}}(M) / \mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M).$$

If V is g -rational, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M) &= \mathbf{A}_{g,n-\frac{1}{T}}(M) \bigoplus \mathbf{O}_{g,n-\frac{1}{T}}(M) / \mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M) \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{g,n-\frac{2}{T}}(M) \bigoplus \mathbf{O}_{g,n-\frac{2}{T}}(M) / \mathbf{O}_{g,n-\frac{1}{T}}(M) \bigoplus \mathbf{O}_{g,n-\frac{1}{T}}(M) / \mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M) \\ &= \dots\dots\dots \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{g,0}(M) \bigoplus \bigoplus_{s=1}^{nT} \mathbf{O}_{g,n-\frac{s}{T}}(M) / \mathbf{O}_{g,n-\frac{s-1}{T}}(M) \end{aligned}$$

□

4 $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M)$ and intertwining operators

Now we discuss the connections between $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M)$ and the intertwining operators and fusion rules. Throughout this section, let (M_0, Y_{M_0}) be an admissible V -module and (M_i, Y_{M_i}) be admissible g -twisted V -modules for $i = 1, 2$. We first recall the intertwining operators and tensor product of modules from [DLM7].

Definition 4.1. *An intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} M_2 \\ M_0 M_1 \end{pmatrix}$ is a linear map*

$$\begin{aligned} M_0 &\longrightarrow (\text{Hom}(M_1, M_2))\{z\} \\ w &\mapsto I(w, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{C}} w_n z^{-n-1} \end{aligned}$$

such that for $w \in M_0, w^1 \in M_1$, fixed $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Q}$ sufficiently large

$$w_{n+c} w^1 = 0,$$

the following (generalized) Jacobi identity holds on M_2 : for $u \in V^r$ and $w^j \in M_j, j = 0, 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & z_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0}\right) Y_{M_2}(u, z_1) I(w^0, z_2) w^1 - z_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0}\right) I(w^0, z_2) Y_{M_1}(u, z_1) w^1 \\ &= z_2^{-1} \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2}\right)^{-\frac{r}{T}} \delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2}\right) I(Y_{M_0}(u, z_0) w^0, z_2) w^1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$I(L(-1))w^0, z) = \frac{d}{dz} I(w^0, z).$$

Remark: Assume M_0 is an irreducible V -module, and M_1, M_2 are irreducible g -twisted V -modules. We use $h_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $i = 0, 1, 2$ to denote the conformal weights (ref. Remark 2.4) of M_i , $i = 0, 1, 2$. Due to Proposition 1.5.1 of [FZ], the intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} M_2 \\ M_0 M_1 \end{pmatrix}$ can be written as

$$I(w, z) = \sum_{n \in \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+} w_n z^{-n-1} z^{-h_0-h_1+h_2},$$

so that for homogeneous $w \in M_0$, $m, n \in \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$w(n)M_1(m) \subseteq M_2(\deg w + m - n - 1),$$

where $\deg w = k$ means that $w \in M_0(k)$.

The intertwining operators of type $\begin{pmatrix} M_2 \\ M_0 M_1 \end{pmatrix}$ clearly form a vector space, which we denote by $\mathcal{I} \begin{pmatrix} M_2 \\ M_0 M_1 \end{pmatrix}$. Set

$$N_{M_0 M_1}^{M_2} = \dim \mathcal{I} \begin{pmatrix} M_2 \\ M_0 M_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which are called the fusion rules. For short, we set $N_{01}^2 = N_{M_0 M_1}^{M_2}$. We also set $\mathcal{I}_{01}^2 = \mathcal{I} \begin{pmatrix} M_2 \\ M_0 M_1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Now we can define the tensor product of an admissible V -modules and an admissible g -twisted V -module according to [DLM7].

Definition 4.2. A tensor product for the admissible module M_0 and the admissible g -twisted module M_1 is an admissible g -twisted module $M_0 \boxtimes M_1$ together with an intertwining operator $F \in \mathcal{I} \begin{pmatrix} M_0 \boxtimes M_1 \\ M_0 M_1 \end{pmatrix}$ such that the following universal mapping property holds:

for any a g -twisted V -module W and any intertwining operator $I \in \mathcal{I} \begin{pmatrix} W \\ M_0 M_1 \end{pmatrix}$, there exists a unique V -homomorphism ψ from $M_0 \boxtimes M_1$ to W such that $I = \psi \circ F$.

From now on we assume that V is g -rational. Let $M^1, \dots, M^p$ be the irreducible admissible g -twisted V -modules up to equivalence. The following result is well known:

Theorem 4.3. *If V is rational and g -rational then the tensor product $M_0 \boxtimes M^j$ exists for any j . In fact,*

$$M_0 \boxtimes M_j = \bigoplus_{k=1}^p N_{0j}^k M^k$$

such that $I_{0j}^k(w, z)M^j \subset M^k\{z\}$ for $w \in M_0$.

We now turn our attention to the connection between intertwining operators and $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M)$ as before. From now on, we denote the untwisted admissible module M by M_0 . Let $I \in \mathcal{I}_{0j}^k$. Then for $w \in M_0$, $w(\deg w - 1 - t + s)M^j(s) \subset M^k(t)$ for all s, t . For short we set

$$o_{t,s}^I(w) = w(\deg w - 1 - t + s)$$

for homogeneous $w \in M_0$ and extend it linearly to entire M_0 . According to the Lemma 1.5.2 of [FZ] and Theorem 3.2 of [DLM4] and Lemma 4.3 of [DR], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *Let M_0, M_1, M_2 be as before, which are all irreducible and I is an intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} M_2 \\ M_0 M_1 \end{pmatrix}$. The map*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(I) : \mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M_0) \otimes_{A_{g,n}(V)} M_1(s) &\rightarrow M_2(t) \\ (w^0, w^1) &\mapsto o_{t,s}^I(w^0)w^1 \end{aligned}$$

for $w^0 \in M_0, w^1 \in M_1(s)$ and $s, t \in \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}_+$, such that $s, t \leq n$ induces an $A_{g,n}(V)$ -module homomorphism.

Proof: As in [FZ] we need to verify that $o_{t,s}^I(u *_{g,n} w^0) = o(u)o_{t,s}^I(w^0)$, $o_{t,s}^I(w^0 *_{g,n} u) = o_{t,s}^I(w^0)o(u)$ and $o_{t,s}^I(w) = 0$ on $M_1(s)$ with $s, t \leq n$ for $u \in V^0, w^0 \in M_0$ and $w \in \mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M_0)$.

By the definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} o_{t,s}^I(u *_{g,n} w^0) &= o_{t,s}^I\left(\sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \text{Res}_z Y_{M_0}(u, z) w^0 \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wt}u+l}}{z^{l+m+1}}\right) \\ &= o_{t,s}^I\left(\sum_{m=0}^l \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \binom{\text{wt}u+l}{i} u_{i-l-m-1} w^0\right) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^l \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \binom{\text{wt}u+l}{i} (u_{i-l-m-1} w^0)_{\text{wt}u+\deg w^0-i+l+m-1-t+s} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \operatorname{Res}_{z_2} \operatorname{Res}_{z_0} I_{M_1}(Y_{M_0}(u, z_0) w^0, z_2) \times \\
&\quad \frac{(z_2 + z_0)^{\operatorname{wt} u + l} z_2^{h_0 + h_1 - h_2 + \deg w^0 + m - 1 - t + s}}{z_0^{l+m+1}} \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \operatorname{Res}_{z_1} \operatorname{Res}_{z_2} Y_{M_2}(u, z_1) I(w^0, z_2) \times \\
&\quad \frac{z_1^{\operatorname{wt} u + l} z_2^{h_0 + h_1 - h_2 + \deg w^0 + m - 1 - t + s}}{(z_1 - z_2)^{l+m+1}} \\
&\quad - \sum_{m=0}^l (-1)^m \binom{m+l}{l} \operatorname{Res}_{z_2} \operatorname{Res}_{z_1} I(w^0, z_2) Y_{M_1}(u, z_1) \times \\
&\quad \frac{z_1^{\operatorname{wt} u + l} z_2^{h_0 + h_1 - h_2 + \deg w^0 + m - 1 - t + s}}{(-z_2 + z_1)^{l+m+1}} \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^l \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^{m+j} \binom{m+l}{l} \binom{-l-m-1}{j} u_{\operatorname{wt} u - m - 1 - j} w_{\deg w^0 + m - 1 - t + s + j}^0 \\
&\quad - \sum_{m=0}^l \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^{l+m+1+j} \binom{m+l}{l} \binom{-l-m-1}{j} w_{\deg w^0 - l - 2 - t + s - j}^0 u_{\operatorname{wt} u + l + j} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^k (-1)^k \binom{m+l}{l} \binom{-l-m-1}{k-m} u_{\operatorname{wt} u - 1 - k} w_{\deg w^0 - 1 - t + s + k}^0 \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^j (-1)^{l+1+j} \binom{m+l}{l} \binom{-l-m-1}{j} w_{\deg w^0 - l - 2 - t + s - j}^0 u_{\operatorname{wt} u + l + j}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $u_{\operatorname{wt} u + l + j} = w_{\operatorname{wt} w^0 - 1 - t + s + k}^0 = 0$ on $M_1(s)$ for $j \geq 0$ and $k > t$. Also we have

$$\sum_{m=0}^k \binom{m+l}{l} \binom{-l-m-1}{k-m} = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{m+l}{m} \binom{-l-m-1}{k-m} = 0, \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq l.$$

Then

$$o_{t,s}^I(u *_{g,n} w^0) = u_{\operatorname{wt} u - 1} w_{\operatorname{wt} w^0 - 1 - t + s}^0 = o(u) o_{t,s}^I(w^0).$$

Similarly, one can get

$$o_{t,s}^I(w^0 *_{g,n} u) = w_{\deg w^0 - 1 - t + s}^0 u_{\operatorname{wt} u - 1} = o_{t,s}^I(w^0) o(u).$$

Next we prove the third identity. For $w \in \mathbf{O}_{g,n}(M_0)$, setting $w = a \circ_{g,n} b$ for $a \in V^r, b \in M_0$, we have

$$o_{t,s}^I(a \circ_{g,n} b) = o_{t,s}^I(\operatorname{Res}_z Y_{M_0}(a, z) b \frac{(1+z)^{\operatorname{wt} a + l - 1 + \delta_i(r) + \frac{r}{T}}}{z^{2l + \delta_i(r) + \delta_i(T-r) + 1}})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= o_{t,s}^I \left(\sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{\text{wta} + l - 1 + \delta_i(r) + \frac{r}{T}}{i} a_{i-2l-1-\delta_i(r)-\delta_i(T-r)} b \right) \\
&= \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{\text{wta} + l - 1 + \delta_i(r) + \frac{r}{T}}{i} (a_{i-2l-1-\delta_i(r)-\delta_i(T-r)} b)_{\text{wtu} + \deg b - i + 2l + \delta_i(r) + \delta_i(T-r) - 1 - t + s} \\
&= \text{Res}_{z_2} \text{Res}_{z_0} I(Y_{M_0}(a, z_0) b, z_2) \frac{(z_2 + z_0)^{\text{wta} + l - 1 + \delta_i(r) + \frac{r}{T}} z_2^{h_0 + h_1 - h_2 + \deg b + l + \delta_i(T-r) - t + s - \frac{r}{T}}}{z_0^{2l + \delta_i(r) + \delta_i(T-r) + 1}} \\
&= \text{Res}_{z_1} \text{Res}_{z_2} Y_{M_2}(a, z_1) I(b, z_2) \frac{z_1^{\text{wta} + l - 1 + \delta_i(r) + \frac{r}{T}} z_2^{h_0 + h_1 - h_2 + \deg b + l + \delta_i(T-r) - t + s - \frac{r}{T}}}{(z_1 - z_2)^{2l + \delta_i(r) + \delta_i(T-r) + 1}} \\
&\quad - \text{Res}_{z_2} \text{Res}_{z_1} I(b, z_2) Y_{M_1}(a, z_1) \frac{z_1^{\text{wta} + l - 1 + \delta_i(r) + \frac{r}{T}} z_2^{h_0 + h_1 - h_2 + \deg b + l + \delta_i(T-r) - t + s - \frac{r}{T}}}{(-z_2 + z_1)^{2l + \delta_i(r) + \delta_i(T-r) + 1}} \\
&= \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j \binom{-2l - \delta_i(r) - \delta_i(T-r) - 1}{j} \text{Res}_{z_1} \text{Res}_{z_2} Y_{M_2}(a, z_1) I(b, z_2) \times \\
&\quad \frac{z_1^{\text{wta} + l - 1 + \delta_i(r) + \frac{r}{T}} z_2^{h_0 + h_1 - h_2 + \deg b + l + \delta_i(T-r) - t + s - \frac{r}{T}}}{z_1^{2l + \delta_i(r) + \delta_i(T-r) + 1 + j} z_2^{-j}} \\
&\quad - \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^{\delta_i(r) + \delta_i(T-r) + 1} \binom{-2l - \delta_i(r) - \delta_i(T-r) - 1}{j} \text{Res}_{z_2} \text{Res}_{z_1} I(b, z_2) Y_{M_1}(a, z_1) \times \\
&\quad \frac{z_1^{\text{wta} + l - 1 + \delta_i(r) + \frac{r}{T}} z_2^{h_0 + h_1 - h_2 + \deg b + l + \delta_i(T-r) - t + s - \frac{r}{T}}}{z_1^{-j} z_2^{2l + \delta_i(r) + \delta_i(T-r) + 1 + j}} \\
&= \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j \binom{-2l - \delta_i(r) - \delta_i(T-r) - 1}{j} a_{\text{wta} - l - j - 2 - \delta_i(T-r) + \frac{r}{T}} b_{\deg b + l + \delta_i(T-r) - t + s - \frac{r}{T} + j} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^{\delta_i(r) + \delta_i(T-r)} \binom{-2l - \delta_i(r) - \delta_i(T-r) - 1}{j} b_{\deg b - l - j - \delta_i(r) - 1 - \frac{r}{T} - t + s} a_{\text{wta} + l - 1 + \delta_i(r) + \frac{r}{T} + j}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$b_{\deg b + l + \delta_i(T-r) - t + s - \frac{r}{T} + j} = b_{\deg b + s - 1 + l + \delta_i(T-r) - t + \frac{T-r}{T} + j} = 0$$

and

$$a_{\text{wta} + l - 1 + \delta_i(r) + \frac{r}{T} + j} = 0$$

on $M_1(s)$ for $j \geq 0$. So $o_{t,s}^I(w) = 0$. This completes the proof of Lemma. $\square$

We now obtain a linear map π from $\mathcal{I} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} M_2 \\ M_0 M_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$ to $\text{Hom}_{A_{g,n}(V)}(\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M_0) \otimes_{A_{g,n}(V)} M_1(s), M_2(t))$. The following lemma is from Proposition 2.10. of [L2].

Lemma 4.5. *If M_2 is irreducible, π is injective. $\square$*

By Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5, a similar argument as that in [L2] for the proof of Theorem 1.5.2 of [FZ] and Theorem 2.11 in [L2] could be applied to our twisted case, which gives us the main result of this section:

Theorem 4.6. Suppose M_0 is an irreducible V -module, M_1 and M_2 are irreducible g -twisted V -modules, Then π is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{I} \begin{pmatrix} M_2 \\ M_0 \ M_1 \end{pmatrix}$ to $\text{Hom}_{A_{g,n}(V)}(\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M_0) \otimes_{A_{g,n}(V)} M_1(s), M_2(t))$ for $s, t \leq n$.

Remark 4.7. From the above, in general, we obtain that there is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{I} \begin{pmatrix} M^k \\ M_0 \ M^j \end{pmatrix}$ to $\text{Hom}_{A_{g,n}(V)}(\mathbf{A}_{g,n}(M_0) \otimes_{A_{g,n}(V)} M^j(s), M^k(t))$ for $s, t \leq n$ where M^j, M^k are irreducible g -twisted V -module.

References

- [ABD] T. Abe, G. Buhl and C. Dong, Rationality, Regularity, and C_2 -cofiniteness, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356** (2004), 3391-3402.
- [B] R. E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986), 3068-3071.
- [D] C. Dong, Vertex algebras associated with even lattices, *J. Algebra*, **165** (1994), 90-112.
- [DGM] L. Dolan, P. Goddard and P. Montague, Conformal field theories, representations and lattice constructions, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **179** (1996), 61-120.
- [DHVW1] L.J. Dixon, J.A. Harvey, C.Vafa and E. Witten, String on orbifolds, *Nucl. Phys. B* **261** (1985), 678-686.
- [DHVW2] L.J. Dixon, J.A. Harvey, C.Vafa and E. Witten, String on orbifolds, II, *Nucl. Phys. B* **274** (1986), 285-314.
- [DJ1] C. Dong and C. Jiang, Bimodules associated to vertex operator algebra, *Math. Z.* **289** (2008), 799-826.
- [DJ2] C. Dong and C. Jiang, Bimodules and g -rationality of vertex operator algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **360** (2008), 4236-4262.
- [DJX] C. Dong, X. Jiao and F. Xu, Quantum Dimensions and Quantum Galois Theory, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear; arXiv:1201.2738.
- [DLM1] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Compact automorphism group of vertex operator algebras, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **18** (1996), 913-921.

- [DLM2] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Regularity of rational vertex operator algebras, *Adv. Math.* **132** (1997), 148-166.
- [DLM3] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Twisted representations of vertex operator algebras, *Math. Ann.* **310** (1998), 571–600.
- [DLM4] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Vertex operator algebras and associative algebras, *J. Alg.* **206** (1998), 67-96.
- [DLM5] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Twisted representations of vertex operator algebras and associative algebras, *International Math. Research Notices*, **8** (1998), 389-397.
- [DLM6] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Modular-invariance of trace functions in orbifold theory and generalized moonshine, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **214** (2000), 1-56.
- [DLM7] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Simple currents and extensions of vertex operator algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **180** (1996), 671-707.
- [DM] C. Dong and G. Mason, On quantum Galois theory, *Duke Math. J.* **86** (1997), 305-321.
- [DR] C. Dong and Li. Ren, Representations of vertex operator algebras and bimodules, *J. Alg.* **384** (2013), 212-226.
- [FFR] A.J. Feingold, I. B. Frenkel and J.F.X. Ries, Spinor construction of vertex operator algebras, triality and $E_8^{(1)}$, *Contemporary Math.* **121**, 1991.
- [FHL] I. B. Frenkel, Y. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, *Memoirs American Math. Soc.* **104**, 1993.
- [FLM] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster, *Pure and Applied Math.*, Vol. **134**, Academic Press, 1988.
- [FZ] I. B. Frenkel and Y. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), 123-168.
- [H] Y. Huang, Differential equations and intertwining operators, *Comm. Contemp. Math.* **7** (2005), 375-400.
- [HY] Y. Huang and J. Yang, Logarithmic intertwining operators and associative algebras *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **216** (2012), 1467-1492.

- [HL1] Y. Huang and J. Lepowsky, A theory of tensor products for module categories for a vertex operator algebra, I, *Sel. Math.* **1** (1995), 699-756.
- [HL2] Y. Huang and J. Lepowsky, A theory of tensor products for module categories for a vertex operator algebra, III, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* **100** (1995), 141-171.
- [LJ1] J. Lepowsky, Calculus of twisted vertex operators, *Proc. Natl. Acad Sci. USA.* **82** (1985), 8295-8299.
- [LJ2] J. Lepowsky, Perspectives on vertex operators and the Monster, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math., American Math. Soc.* **48** (1988), 181-197.
- [L1] H. Li, Symmetric invariant bilinear forms on vertex operator algebras, *J. Pure and Appl. Math.* **96** (1994), 279-297.
- [L2] H. Li, Determining fusion rules by $A(V)$ -modules and bimodules, *J. Algebra* **212** (1999), 515-556.
- [LL] J. Lepowsky and H. Li, Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras, and Their Representations, *Progress in Math.* Vol. 227, Birkhäuser, Boston 2004.
- [MT] M. Miyamoto and K. Tanabe, Uniform product of $A_{g,n}(V)$ for an orbifold model V and G -twisted Zhu algebra, *J. Algebra* **274** (2004), 80-96.
- [Z] Y. Zhu, Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), 237-302.